Respiratory Allergy, the best therapeutical choice: Lais[®] the carbamylated monomeric allergoid

Enrico Compalati¹, Marco Emanuele Bruno², Gianni Mistrello²

- 1. University of Genoa. Allergy & Respiratory Diseases department. Genoa. Italy
- 2. Lofarma SpA. Medical and Research departments. Milan. Italy

Allergen immunotherapy with standardized extracts is effective in treating respiratory allergy but the risk of side effects exist, mainly for subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) (1). Sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) in drop or tablets featured by a better safety profile, gained large diffusion in recent years in order to face this drawback, however a certain risk is associated also to this route of administration when the preparation contains native allergens. Eleven cases of anaphylaxis have been reported with these traditional vaccines (2).

Lais[®], a carbamylated monomeric allergoid in tablets for SLIT, is a chemically modified allergen resulting in a substitution of ε -aminogroups of allergen lysine residues conferring reduced IgE-binding activity (3). The extremely selective carbamylation does not affect the structural conformation and size of the allergen molecule, making Lais[®] the only one allergoid suitable also for sublingual administration ('monomeric'). In fact other common allergoids ('polymerized') existing on the market, are characterized by a polymeric nature due to their modification with glutaraldehyde that determines a strong increase of the molecular dimension of the derived extracts preventing a sublingual absorption.

The reduced allergenic activity of Lais[®] improves the safety of the preparation as documented by numerous studies in adults and children with different administration regimens and with a large range of dosages (4-6). The tolerability of Lais[®] has been confirmed by post-marketing surveys showing an incidence of adverse reactions largely inferior to traditional extracts (6). No serious events have ever been reported with Lais[®], even with short up-dosing regimens or without any up-dosing (7, 8). Of note, one study observed that Lais[®] is well tolerated also in children under the age of five (9).

The chemical modification however does not affect the immunological properties of this kind of vaccine, that has been demonstrated to immune-modulate the human's response to the allergen with production of IL-10 and reduction of Th-2 mediators (10). Lais[®] was associated with a down-regulation of specific IgE (without the early increase typical of SLIT with native allergens) and effectors cells (10). Moreover an early immune suppression has been shown correlated to rapid induction schemes (11).

These findings are accompanied by robust evidence of improvements in relevant clinical parameters. The SLIT position-paper of the World Allergy Organization (12) remarks the very first studies conducted with tablets in adults (Passalacqua. Lancet 1998) and children (Caffarelli. Allergy 2000) (13, 14); these two studies administered Lais[®]. Double-blind randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials administering house dust mites and grass pollen tablets or drops demonstrated the efficacy of Lais[®] in reducing the total and individual symptoms and the drug consumption in patients with allergic rhinitis and asthma (15-18). In some randomized controlled trials also a reduction in bronchial hypereactivity

was shown, together with the confirmed benefits for other extracts (birch, parietaria, cypress and olea allergoids) (16-23). Long-lasting and preventive (on asthma onset and new sensitizations) effects of Lais[®] in allergic rhinitis are documented in different observational studies (24-26). All the clinical results appeared correlated to relevant anti-inflammatory effects.

The peculiar chemical modification of the extract has another very important feature. It confers resistance to the proteolytic activity of gastroenteric enzymes, thus improves the bioavailability of the vaccine. Pharmacokinetics studies, on human volunteers using the sublingual administration of radiolabelled purified carbamylated allergoid, evidenced that a part of the molecule, after swallowing, is able to reach the systemic circulation, differently from the native unmodified allergen which is more likely digested by enzymes at gastrointestinal level (27-30). In a mouse-model the intragastric-restricted administration of allergoid stimulated gut-associated-lymphoid-tissue immune-changes, carbamyled inducing a systemic tolerogenic response (31). Recently a clinical comparison between the three modalities of administration (sublingual-spit/oral/sublingual-swallow) confirmed that the benefits of Lais[®] are the results of the contribution of both the oro-mucosal and gastroenteric adsorption. These observations suggest that Lais[®] can express its therapeutic effects through two concomitant actions, one involving local mechanism (due to its long persistence in the mouth) and the other through systemic mechanisms (due to the enteric contact and absorption). The resistance to the proteolytic activity of Lais[®] allows the administration of an optimized amount of effective dose unable to provoke side effects improving the global efficiency of the treatment and favoring patients' high adherence.

In summary the characteristics of the monomeric allergoid, its preserved molecular dimension suitable for sublingual administration, its reduced allergenic activity responsible for the optimal safety profile, its retained immunological activity responsible for the clinical efficacy, the improved bioavailability permitting the optimization of a safe and effective dosage, make Lais[®] a unique vaccine, suitable also for a very early treatment of allergic children, against the progression of the allergic march.

REFERENCES

- 1. Malling H, Weeke B, eds. Immunotherapy. Position Paper of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. Allergy. 1993;48(Suppl 14):9 –35.
- 2. Calderon MA, Simons FER, Malling H-J, Lockey RF, Moingeon P, Demoly P. Sublingual allergen immunotherapy: mode of action and its relationship with the safety profile. Allergy 2011; DOI: 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2011.02761.x.
- 3. Mistrello G, Brenna O, Roncarolo D, Zanoni D, Gentili M, Falagiani P. Monomeric chemically modified allergens: immunologic and physicochemical characterization. Allergy. 1996 Jan;51(1):8-15.

- 4. Rossi RE, Monasterolo G. Safety of ultra-rush (two hours) sublingual swallow immunotherapy in allergic patients. Giorn it allergol immunol clin 2002;12:221-226.
- 5. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of sublingual monomeric allergoid in tablets given without up-dosing to pediatric patients with allergic rhinitis and/or asthma due to grass pollen. Agostinis F, Foglia C, Bruno ME, Falagiani P. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol 2009;Vol 41, (6): 177-180.
- 6. Lombardi C, Gargioni S, Melchiorre A et al. Safety of sublingual immunotherapy in adults: a post marketing surveillance study. Allergy 2001; 56: 889-892.
- 7. Passali, Mosges et al. Safety, tolerability, and efficacy of sublingual allergoid immunotherapy with three different shortened up-dosing administration schedules. Acta Otorhinolaryngologica Italica 2010;30:131-137.
- 8. Giordano T, Quarta C, Bruno ME et al. Safety, tolerability and efficacy of sublingual allergoid immunotherapy with a 4-day shortened build-up phase. Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol. 2006 Nov;38(9):310-2.
- 9. Agostinis F, Tellarini L, Canonica GW, Falagiani P et al. Safety of sublingual immunotherapy with a monomeric allergoid in very young children. Allergy. 2005 Jan;60(1):133
- 10.Cosmi L, Santarlasci V, Angeli R et al. Sublingual immunotherapy with Dermatophagoides monomeric allergoid down-regulates allergen-specific immunoglobulin E and increases both interferon-gamma- and interleukin-10-production. Clin Exp Allergy. 2006 Mar;36(3):261-72.
- 11.Di Gioacchino M, Perrone A, Petrarca C et al. Early cytokine modulation after the rapid induction phase of sublingual immunotherapy with mite monomeric allergoids. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2008 Oct-Dec;21(4):969-76.
- 12.Canonica GW, Bousquet J, Casale T et al. Sub-lingual immunotherapy: World Allergy Organization Position Paper 2009. Allergy. 2009 Dec;64 Suppl 91:1-59.
- Passalacqua G, Albano M, Fregonese L, Riccio A, Pronzato C, Mela GS, Canonica GW. Randomised controlled trial of local allergoid immunotherapy on allergic inflammation in mite-induced rhinoconjunctivitis. Lancet. 1998 Feb 28;351(9103):629-32.
- 14.Caffarelli C, Sensi LG, Marcucci F, Cavagni G. Preseasonal local allergoid immunotherapy to grass pollen in children: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial. Allergy 2000 Dec;55(12):1142-7.
- 15.Palma-Carlos AG, Santos AS, Branco-Ferreira M, Pregal AL et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of preseasonal sublingual immunotherapy with grass pollen carbamylated allergoid in rhinitic patients. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2006 Sep-Oct;34(5):194-8
- 16.Passalacqua G, Pasquali M, Ariano R et al. Randomized double-blind controlled study with sublingual carbamylated allergoid immunotherapy in mild rhinitis due to mites. Allergy. 2006 Jul;61(7):849-54.
- 17. Ariano R, Panzani RC, Augeri C. Efficacy and safety of oral immunotherapy in respiratory allergy to parietaria judaica pollen. A double blind study. Invest Allergol Clin Immunol 1998; 8(3).155-160.

- 18.Mezei G, Magyar P, Cserhati E, Mark Z. A double blind placebo controlled parallel study to assess the efficacy of preseasonal sublingual ragweed specific immunotherapy. Notiziario Allergologico 1996; suppl.vol. 15, pag. 40-44.
- 19.Marogna Marogna M, Colombo F, Cerra C, Bruno M, Massolo A, Canonica GW, Falagiani P, Passalacqua G. The clinical efficacy of a sublingual monomeric allergoid at different maintenance doses: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2010; 23(3):937-45.
- 20.Lombardi C, Gargioni S, Venturi S et al. Controlled study of preseasonal immunotherapy with grass pollen extract in tablets: effect on bronchial hyperreactivity. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2001;11:41–45
- 21.Leonardi S, Arena A, Bruno ME et al. Olea sublingual allergoid immunotherapy administered with two different treatment regimens. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2010 Mar;31(2):e25-9.
- 22.D'Anneo RW, Arena A, Gammeri E et al. Parietaria sublingual allergoid immunotherapy with a co-seasonal treatment schedule. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2008 Mar-Apr;36(2):79-84.
- 23.Burastero SE, Mistrello G, Paolucci C et al. Clinical and immunological correlates of pre-co-seasonal sublingual immunotherapy with birch monomeric allergoid in patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2009 Apr-Jun;22(2):343-52.
- 24.Marogna M, Falagiani P, Bruno M et al. The allergic march in pollinosis: natural history and therapeutic implications. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2004 Dec;135(4):336-42
- 25.Marogna M, Bruno M, Massolo A et al. Long-lasting effects of sublingual immunotherapy for house dust mites in allergic rhinitis with bronchial hyperreactivity: A long-term (13-year) retrospective study in real life. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2007;142(1):70-8.
- 26.Arena A, Barbatano E, Gammeri E, Bruno M, Riva G. Specific immunotherapy of allergic diseases: a three years perspective observational study. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2003 Sep-Dec;16(3):277-82
- 27.Passalacqua G, Altrinetti V, Mariani G et al. Pharmacokinetics of radiolabelled Par j 1 administered intranasally to allergic and healthy subjects. Clin Exp Allergy. 2005 Jul;35(7):880-3.
- 28.Bagnasco M, Altrinetti V, Pesce G et al. Pharmacokinetics of Der p 2 allergen and derived monomeric allergoid in allergic volunteers. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2005 Nov;138(3):197-202.
- 29.Bagnasco M, Passalacqua G, Villa G et al. Pharmacokinetics of an allergen and a monomeric allergoid for oromucosal immunotherapy in allergic volunteers. Clin Exp Allergy. 2001 Jan;31(1):54-60.
- 30.Bagnasco M, Morbelli S, Altrinetti V et al. Allergen biodistribution in humans. Chem Immunol Allergy. 2003;82:33-43.
- 31.Petrarca C, Lazzarin F, Pennelli T et al. Monomeric allergoid intragastric administration induces local and systemic tolerogenic response involving IL-10 producing CD4CD25 T regulatory cells in mice. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2010; 23(4): 1021-31.